

Economics 2740  
Department of Economics  
University of Guelph

## Tests About Population Means

# Case 1: $\sigma^2$ known, data normal

(Section 11.3 ed6 or 10.3 ed5)

- e.g. UofG isn't cheap
- Is it worth it?
- Test if UofG grads earn more than other college grads, age 25
- Obviously not all UofG grads earn more – test if population mean is higher
- (Please note that the data for this example is fictitious)

# How to test this

- Step 1: Look up average university grad salary age 25 in Statistics Canada ( $m_0 = 50$  thousand CAD/yr.).
- Step 2: Survey random sample: “n” U of G grads age 25, and then simply record the salary levels of each respondent.

	<u>Who</u>	<u>Salary (thousands)</u>
$X_1$	Jason	55
$X_2$	Bob	45
$X_3$	Mary	60
$X_4$	Fumiko	60
$X_5$	Elvin	55
$X_6$	Anna	55

### Step 3: Calculate sample means

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1/6(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6) \\ &= 1/6(55 + 45 + 60 + 60 + 55 + 55) \\ &= 55\end{aligned}$$

### Key Notes:

- $55 > 50$
- This suggests UofG Grads do better
- However, this is based on just 6 people
- Did we get  $\bar{X} > 50$  by chance?
- *Or* is it meaningful?

- To answer this need Hypothesis test

**Step 4: Specify  $H_0$  and  $H_1$**

Define  $\mu$  = pop. Mean UofG Grad (age 25)

Now, let  $\mu_0 = 50$  (Hypothesized value)

*Q: Can we reject  $\mu \leq 50$  in favor  
of  $\mu > 50$ ?*

*$H_0: \mu \leq \mu_0$  (U of G Grads don't do better)*

*$H_1: \mu > \mu_0$  (U of G Grads do better)*

## Step 5: Set a “Significance” Level

- What is the standard of proof
- How sure must we be before we say U of G Grads do better (i.e. reject  $H_0$ )

## Follow Common Practice

- Must be 95% sure to reject
- Set  $\alpha = 0.05$   
[i.e. Restrict Prob(Type I)  $\leq 5\%$ ]

## Step 6: Form Test Statistic

- Intuition: Reject if  $\bar{X} - m_0 = \bar{X} - 50$  large
  - if  $\bar{X} = 100$  then  $\bar{X} > 50$  too big to be by chance
  - if  $\bar{X} = 50.01$  then  $\bar{X} > 50$  likely by chance
  - if  $\bar{X} = 55$  then hard to tell
- Q: How do we measure large?
- A: In “*Standard Deviations of  $\bar{X}$* ”
- Why: (1) Doesn't depend on the unit of measurement  
(2) Means same thing for all tests



- To do this, divide  $\bar{X} - m_0$  by its standard deviation (SD)
- Define: 
$$z = \frac{(\bar{x} - m_0)}{s_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{(\bar{x} - m_0)}{s / \sqrt{n}}$$
- Z measures the size of  $\bar{X} - m_0$  in standard deviations
- If  $z = 3$ , then  $\bar{X}$  is 3 standard deviations above 50
  - unlikely to happen by chance
  - suggests rejecting  $H_0$

When  $H_0$  holds and  $\mu = \mu_0$ , Then:

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu_0)}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu)}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1)$$

### **Interpretation:**

- If the hypothesized value is correct, then  $z$  centers the sample mean about true population mean
- In which case,  $z$  is standard normal

## Step 7: Find the Critical Value

- Q: How many standard deviations above 50 must  $\bar{X}$  be for us to reject?  
i.e. How large must  $z$  be?
- A:  $Z$  must be so large that the prob. of getting a  $z$ -value that big when  $H_0$  holds is less than  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

- The critical value  $Z_a$  is the cut-off point
  - if  $Z > Z_a$  then we Reject  $H_0$
  - if  $Z \leq Z_a$  then we Fail to reject  $H_0$

- Choose  $Z_a$  so that:

Prob (Type I Error) =

$$\text{Prob}(Z > Z_a \text{ when } \mu = \mu_0) = \alpha$$

- Define  $Z_a$  by  $\text{Prob}(Z > Z_a) = \alpha$

$$\text{for } Z \sim N(0,1)$$

- Please see graph of Normal distribution in the back of the book (i.e.  $Z_{0.05} = 1.645$ )

**Step 8:** Calculate Z and conduct test

- In our example, assume  $\sigma = 2(6)^{1/2}$

$$z = \frac{\bar{x} - m_0}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} = \frac{55 - 50}{\frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{6}}} = \frac{55 - 50}{2} = 2.5 > Z_a = 1.645$$

Reject  $H_0$  at 5% significance level

It pays to go to Uof G! (At least in this sample)