### Machine Learning in Econometrics: Lecture 10

#### INSTRUCTOR: CHAOYI CHEN NJE & MNB

#### November 7, 2023

@copyright Chaoyi Chen (NJE & MNB). All rights reserved. Please do not distribute without express written consent.

- The theory we have described concerns the infeasible best weights
- They are unknown
- How can they be estimated? feasible approaches
  - Plug-in
  - Mallows
  - CV

# Topic 4: Plug-In Approach

• Chu-An Liu, Journal of Econometrics, 2015 (Liu 2015)

Recall

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{wmse}(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \bar{M} \mathbf{w}, \\ & \bar{M} = \left[ B^{\top} \left( X^{\top} A_m^{\top} - I \right) W \left( A_{\ell} X - I \right) B + \mathsf{tr} \left( A_m D A_{\ell}^{\top} W \right) \right]_{m\ell}, \\ & A_m = \begin{bmatrix} \left( X_m^{\top} X_m \right)^{-1} X_m^{\top} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Estimator

$$\begin{split} \widehat{B} &= \left( X^{\top} X \right)^{-1} X^{\top} y, \\ \widehat{M} &= \left[ \widehat{B}^{\top} \left( X^{\top} A_m^{\top} - I \right) W \left( A_{\ell} X - I \right) \widehat{B} + \operatorname{tr} \left( A_m \widehat{D} A_{\ell}^{\top} W \right) \right]_{m\ell}, \\ \widehat{D} &= \operatorname{diag} \left( \widehat{e}_1^2, \dots, \widehat{e}_n^2 \right) \end{split}$$

• 
$$\widehat{M} = \left[\widehat{B}^{\top} \left(X^{\top} A_m^{\top} - I\right) W \left(A_l X - I\right) \widehat{B} + \operatorname{tr} \left(A_m \widehat{D} A_l^{\top} W\right)\right]_{ml}$$

• 
$$\widehat{\mathsf{wmse}}(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{w}^\top \widehat{W} \mathbf{w}$$

• 
$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \widehat{\mathcal{W}} \mathbf{w} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_{m} = 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \mathbf{w} \leq 1$$

• Quadratic programming solution

# Topic 4: Comments on Plug-In Approach

- Simple, computationally quick
- Works for any weight matrix W
- If W is rank one (puts rank on a single linear combination)
  - This reduces to a Focused Information Criterion
  - Hjort and Claeskens introduced this as Frequentist Model Averaging (FMA) estimator(Hjort and Claeskens 2003)
- Disadvantages
  - $\widehat{M}$  is an<u>biased</u> estimator for  $\overline{M}$
  - This is because when the estimated squared bias is of the same order as the variance, then the variance of the estimated bias term is of the same order
  - This bias can be corrected, but we do not pursue this here

# Topic 4: Mallows Model Averaging (MMA) Criterion

- Hansen proposed the least square model averaging with Mallow criterion (Hansen 2007)
- The Mallows criterion applies to regression models with linear estimators
- Recall  $m^{th}$  regression uses a subset  $\mathbf{X}_m$  of regressors

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{y} &= \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_m + \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m = \mathbf{X}_m \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_m + \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m \\ \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_m &= \left(\mathbf{X}_m^\top \mathbf{X}_m\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_m^\top \mathbf{y} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_m &= \mathbf{X}_m \left(\mathbf{X}_m^\top \mathbf{X}_m\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_m^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{y} \end{split}$$

Therefore we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_m \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_m = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_m \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{y}$$
$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_m \mathbf{P}_m \text{ is a weighted average of projection matrice}$$

Instructor: Chaoyi (NJE & MNB)

• 
$$C = \widehat{\mathbf{e}}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{e}} + 2 \widetilde{\sigma}^2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})$$

For least square averaging estimator

• 
$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{w})$$
  
•  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{w})) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}_m) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m K_m$ 

• *K<sub>m</sub>* is number of estimated coefficients in model *m*.

• 
$$C(\mathbf{w}) = \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{w}) + 2\widetilde{\sigma}^2 \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m K_m$$

• The penalty is the weighted average of the number of coefficients

#### Topic 4: Computation

• Stack the residual vectors by column

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} &= [\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_M] \\ \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(w) &= \widehat{\mathbf{E}}w \\ \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(w)^\top \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(w) &= w^\top \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^\top \widehat{\mathbf{E}}w \end{split}$$

Stack the K<sub>m</sub>

$$\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_M)^\top$$
$$\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{w}_m K_m = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{K}$$

• 
$$C(\mathbf{w}) = \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{w}) + 2\widetilde{\sigma}^2 \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_m K_m =$$

 $\mathbf{w}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} \mathbf{w} + 2 \widetilde{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{K}$ 

# Topic 4: Mallow selection

- $C(w) = w^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} w + 2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 w^{\top} \mathbf{K}$  is a quadratic c function in weight vector w
- Mallows selection
  - $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \mathop{\rm argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \ C(\mathbf{w}) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{w}_m = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{w} \leq 1$
  - Quadratic Programming solution
  - You can use quadprog in R
- Given selected weights  $\widehat{w}$

• 
$$\widehat{\mathbf{B}} = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_m \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Weighted average of least squares estimates using selected weights
- Generalization of model selection, where  $\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_m = \{0,1\}$
- The quadratic programming solution is quick and reliable even with hundreds of models
- Mallows criterion is unbiased for regression fit (Mallows Theorem)

# Topic 4: Computation in R

- You need the quadprog package installed on the computer
- library(quadprog)
- quadprog solves the minimizes functions of the form  $-d^{\top}b + (1/2)b^{\top}Db$  s.t.  $A^{\top}b \geq b_0$  and equality constraint
- In our notation
  - b = w
  - $d = -\tilde{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{K}$   $D = \mathbf{\hat{F}}^\top \mathbf{\hat{F}}$

  - M = # of models
- Command
  - QP <- solve.QP(Dmat,dvec,Amat,bvec,1)
  - Dmat <- t(e)%\*% where  $e=n \times M$  M matrix of residuals from M models
  - dvec <- K\*sig2  $M \times 1.1$  vector of number of regression parameters in each model
  - The "1" says that the first constraint is an equality, the remainder inequality

- The technical issue is to construct Amat and byec to impose constraints of the form  $A^{\top}b \ge b_0$
- The first constraint is that the sum of the weights to 1
- The second set of constraints is that the weights are greater than zero
- The third set of constraints is that the weights are less than one
- Amat<-t(rbind(matrix(1,nrow=1,ncol=M),diag(M),-diag(M)))</pre>
  - bvec<-rbind(1,matrix(0,nrow=M,ncol=1),matrix(-1,nrow=M,ncol=1))</pre>
- QP <- solve.QP(Dmat,dvec,Amat,bvec,1)
- w <- QP\$solution

# Topic 4: Jackknife Model Averaging (JMA) Criterion

- Hansen and Racine 2012 Journal of Econometrics (Hansen and Racine 2012)
- The Mallows criterion applies to regression models
- Now, we also allow for the Heteroskedasticity
- Averaging estimator of conditional mean at  $i^{th}$  observation

$$\widehat{m}_i(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_m \mathbf{x}_{mi}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_m$$

The leave one out estimator is

$$\tilde{m}_i(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_m \mathbf{x}_{mi}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)m}$$

Prediction error

$$\tilde{e}_i(\mathbf{w}) = y_i - \tilde{m}_i(\mathbf{w})$$

• 
$$\tilde{e}_i(\mathbf{w}) = y_i - \tilde{m}_i(\mathbf{w}) = y_i - \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{w}_m \mathbf{x}_{mi}^\top \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)m}$$

• 
$$\mathsf{CV}(w) = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}(w)^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}(w)$$

- JMA select
  - $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \mathsf{CV}(\mathbf{w}) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{w}_m = 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \mathbf{w} \leq 1$
  - Properties are similar to Mallows select
  - Asymptotic optimality holds under conditional heteroskedasticity

Stack the prediction error vectors by column

• 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_m = (\tilde{e}_{1,m}, \dots, \tilde{e}_{1,M})$$
  
•  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = [\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_M]$ 

• 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{w}) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{w}$$

• 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{w})^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{w}$$

- $\mathsf{CV}(W) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \mathbf{w}$ 
  - $\bullet\,$  Quadratic function in weight vector w
  - Minimization is a Quadratic Programming solution
- Numerically as simple as Mallows criterion

- In our notation
  - b = w
  - d = 0•  $D = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}$

  - M = # of models
- Command
  - QP <- solve.QP(Dmat,matrix(0,M,1),Amat,bvec,1) ۲
  - Dmat <- t(pe)%\*%pe where  $pe=n \times M$  M matrix of prediction errors from M models
  - Same constraints as for Mallows criterion inequality

- MMA and JMA perform better than selection methods
- MMA and JMA perform better than AIC and BIC
- JMA performs better than MMA, especially under heteroskedasticity
- Improvement is not uniform in parameter space

Hansen, Bruce E. (2007). Least squares model averaging. *Econometrica* 75(4), 1175–1189.

Hansen, Bruce E. and Jeffrey S. Racine (2012). Jackknife model averaging. Journal of Econometrics 167(1), 38–46.

Hight, Nils Lid and Gerda Claeskens (2003). Frequentist model average estimators. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **98**(464), 879–899.

ILI, Chu-An (2015). Distribution theory of the least squares averaging estimator. Journal of Econometrics 186(1), 142–159.