

Empirical Panel Data: Lecture 12

INSTRUCTOR: CHAOYI CHEN
NJE & MNB

May 23, 2023

@copyright Chaoyi Chen (NJE & MNB). All rights reserved. Please do not distribute without express written consent.

Topic 5: Cross-sectional dependence

- Considering the standard panel-data model

$$y_{it} = \beta x_{it} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}.$$

- We wish to test if ε_{it} is correlated across cross sections or not.
- That is we are in the interest of the following hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji} = \text{cor}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{jt}) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j$$

$$H_1 : \rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \neq j,$$

- where

$$\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{it} \varepsilon_{jt}}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{it}^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{jt}^2\right)^{1/2}}$$

Topic 5: Breusch and Pagan test

- If $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, we can propose using a **Lagrange Multiplier Test (LM)** test proposed by Breusch and Pagan (Breusch and Pagan 1980):

$$LM = T \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \hat{\rho}_{ij}^2$$

- $\hat{\rho}_{ij}$ is the sample estimate of the pairwise correlation of the residuals

$$\hat{\rho}_{ij} = \hat{\rho}_{ji} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_{it} \hat{\varepsilon}_{jt}}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_{it}^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_{jt}^2\right)^{1/2}}.$$

- Under the null hypothesis as n and $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$LM \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{n(n-1)/2}^2.$$

Topic 5: Pesaran's CD test

- If T is **fixed**, BP test exhibits substantial **size** distortions.
- Pesaran proposed the following alternative to accommodate the large n fixed t panel (Pesaran 2004):

$$CD = \sqrt{\frac{2T}{n(n-1)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1+i}^n \hat{\rho}_{ij} \right).$$

- Under the null hypothesis as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and T is fixed, Pesaran shows

$$CD \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

- Pesaran's CD test can also extend to **unbalanced** panel with a slightly modified version of CD statistic. See more reference on (Pesaran 2004).

Topic 5: Stata command for Pesaran's CD test

- To perform the CD test,
 - ① `xtcsd, pesaran [CD options]`

CD options:
abs: computes the average absolute value of the off-diagonal elements of the crosssectional correlation matrix of residuals.
show: shows the cross-sectional correlation matrix of residuals.
 - ② `xtcsi, depvar indepvars [if] [in] [, trend]`
- `xtcsd` is a **postestimation** command valid for use after running an **FE** or **RE** model.
- `xtcsi` also implements the error test for cross-section independence.
Pros: `xtcsi` is **not** a postestimation command. **Cons:** Only balanced panel time-series data applies to `xtcsi`.

Topic 5: Pesaran's CD test Stata example

- `xtreg lrgdpnagrowth lccongrowth lckgrowth lpopgrowth, fe xtcsd, pesaran`

lrgdpna_gr~h	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lccon_growth	.3785448	.0067975	55.69	0.000	.3652201	.3918695
lck_growth	.0511562	.0096118	5.32	0.000	.0323149	.0699976
lpop_growth	.566651	.0534984	10.59	0.000	.461782	.6715199
_cons	.9113573	.1236405	7.37	0.000	.6689939	1.153721
sigma_u	1.1230599					
sigma_e	5.4526324					
rho	.04069581	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

F test that all $u_i=0$: $F(179, 9046) = 1.77$

Prob > F = 0.0000

`. xtcsd, pesaran`

Pesaran's test of cross sectional independence = 57.737, Pr = 0.0000

Topic 5: Panel cointegration

- Consider the following panel data model

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i^\top x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- $\{y_{it}\}$ and $\{x_{it}\}$ are $I(1)$ times series for each i .
- We are interested to test if y_{it} and x_{it} are cointegrated such that the linear combination between them forms a panel **stationary** ε_{it} .
- Why we care?** If $\{y_{it}\}$ and $\{x_{it}\}$ are $I(1)$ and noncointegrated, ε_{it} is $I(2)$ series too. Similar to what you learned in time series analysis, we will have a panel **spurious** regression.

Topic 5: Three panel cointegration tests

- Many tests have been developed since then based on different approaches and different null hypothesis. Among others, we will introduce three **residual-based tests** for the **null of noncointegration**.
 - 1 Kao test (Kao 1999): Assume cross-sectional **independence** and apply to **homogeneous** panel.
 - 2 Pedroni test (Pedroni 1999, Pedroni 2004): Assume cross-sectional **independence** and apply to both **homogeneous and heterogeneous** panel.
 - 3 Westerlund error correction test (Westerlund 2007): Allow cross-sectional **dependence** (by bootstrapping) and apply to **heterogeneous** panel.

Topic 5: Kao (1999) test

- Kao considers the homogeneous panel data model. The estimated **homogeneous** panel model from a within-group transformation is

$$y_{it} - \bar{y}_{it} = \hat{\beta}^{\top} (x_{it} - \bar{x}_{it}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_{it}.$$

- Therefore, under the null, $\{\hat{\varepsilon}_{it}\}$ behave like an **integrated process** for large T and N . Intuitively, we can propose testing the **pooled AR(1)** coefficient estimator using $\hat{\varepsilon}_{it}$. That is

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{it} = \rho \hat{\varepsilon}_{it-1} + u_{it}.$$

- Therefore, the panel cointegration test becomes a generic panel unit root test.

Topic 5: Kao (1999) test statistics

- Kao proposes **five** test statistics based on modifying Dickey-Fuller (DF) test statistic.
 - ① **Unadjusted DF t** : based on DF test assuming strict exogeneity and absence of serial correlation
 - ② **Unadjusted modified DF t** : based on DF test assuming strict exogeneity and absence of serial correlation
 - ③ **DF t** : based on DF test allowing serial correlation
 - ④ **Modified DF t** : based on DF test allowing serial correlation
 - ⑤ **ADF t** : based on Augmented DF (ADF) test.
- **Remark 1**: Simulation results in Kao (1999) show that both DF based and ADF based test statistics have reasonable empirical size **unless the errors are negatively correlated**.
- **Remark 2**: When T is **small** (10 or 25), all the test statistics are subject to **size distortions** even when n is large. **DF** based tend to keep the nominal size better than **ADF** based.

Topic 5: Pedroni (1999, 2004) test

- Pedroni (1999, 2004) considers the **heterogeneous** panel regression model

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i^\top x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it},$$

- $\{y_{it}\}$ and $\{x_{it}\}$ are **I(1)** times series and satisfy conditions for the functional central limit theorem and independent over i .
- For each i , we can estimate the model by OLS and examine

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{it} = \rho_i \hat{\varepsilon}_{it-1} + u_{it}.$$

- Pedroni considers a variant of IPS test statistic. For each i , Pedroni obtains the **ADF** or **Phillips–Perron** (see (Phillips and Perron 1988) for more reference) test statistic Z_i and proposes using

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i.$$

- Similar to IPS test, this statistic requires **mean and variance adjustments** for it to have a standard normal distribution in the limit.

Topic 5: Pedroni (1999, 2004) test statistics

- Pedroni proposes seven test statistics that use the resulting regression residuals from each i by OLS.
- Three are for **heterogeneous** panel (panel-specific AR parameter)
 - ① Modified Phillips–Perron t
 - ② Phillips–Perron t
 - ③ Augmented Dickey–Fuller t
- Four are for **homogeneous** panel (AR parameter is the same over panels)
 - ① Modified variance ratio
 - ② Modified Phillips–Perron t
 - ③ Phillips–Perron t
 - ④ Augmented Dickey–Fuller t
- **Remark 1:** All the proposed test statistics follow a **standard normal distribution** under the null.
- **Remark 2:** Pedroni calls the panel-specific-AR test statistics “**group-mean statistics**” and the same-AR test statistics “**panel cointegration statistics**”.

Topic 5: Westerlund (2007) test

- Following (Banerjee *et al.* 1998) in the time series literature, Westerlund (2007) considers the following data-generating process

$$\Delta y_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \alpha_{ij} \Delta y_{it-j} + \sum_{j=-q_i}^{p_i} \gamma_{ij} \Delta x_{it-j} + \delta_i \left(y_{it-1} + \beta_i^\top x_{it-1} \right) + \varepsilon_{it}.$$
$$\implies \Delta y_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \alpha_{ij} \Delta y_{it-j} + \sum_{j=-q_i}^{p_i} \gamma_{ij} \Delta x_{it-j} + \delta_i y_{it-1} + \lambda_i^\top x_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it}.$$

- If $\delta_i = 0$, there is **no** error-correction term and $\{y_{it}\}$ is a unit root process that is **not cointegrated** with $\{x_{it}\}$.
- Given each i , we can estimate above model by OLS and obtain $\widehat{\delta}_i$. Westerlund proposes using the two **group mean statistics**, which defined as

$$G_\tau = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{\delta}_i}{se(\widehat{\delta}_i)}, \quad G_\alpha = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{T \widehat{\delta}_i}{\widehat{\delta}_i(1)}.$$

- Westerlund also proposes two more **panel tests statistics**, P_τ and P_α .

Topic 5: Westerlund (2007) test with cross sectional correlation

- Westerlund (2007) also allows for the **cross sectional dependence** by using a **bootstrap** approach (The method resembles (Chang 2004)):
 - **First step:** Fit the least-squares regression and obtain $\hat{w}_t = (\varepsilon_t^\top, \Delta x_t^\top)^\top$, where ε_t and Δx_t are the observations for individual i . Then we generate bootstrap samples $w_t^* = (\varepsilon_t^{*\top}, \Delta x_t^{*\top})^\top$.
 - **Second step:** Using the bootstrap samples to generate Δy_{it}^* and then generate y_{it}^* and x_{it}^* under the null hypothesis.
 - **Third step:** Estimate the bootstrapped error-correction test of interest and obtain bootstrap test by t_1^* .
 - **Fourth step:** Repeat step one-three by S times and obtain $t_1^*, t_2^*, \dots, t_S^*$. For a one-sided 5% nominal-level test, we then obtain the lower 5% quantile, say t_C^* , of this distribution. We reject the null hypothesis if the calculated sample value of the statistic is smaller than t_C^* .

Topic 5: Stata command for panel cointegration test

- To perform the Kao test,
 - `xtcointtest kao depvar varlist [if] [in] [, Kao options]`
 - **Kao options:** `lags(lspec)`, `kernel(kspec)`, `demean`
- To perform the Pedroni test,
 - `xtcointtest pedroni depvar varlist [if] [in] [, Pedroni options]`
 - **Pedroni options:** `ar(panelspecific|same)`, `trend`, `noconstant`, `lags(lspec)`, `kernel(kspec)`, `demean`
- To perform the Westerlund (2007) test,
 - `xtwest depvar varlist [if] [in] [, Westerlund options]`
 - **Westerlund options:** `lags(#[#])`, `leads(#[#])`, `lrwindow(#)`, `constant`, `trend`, `bootstrap(#)`

Topic 5: Kao test Stata example

- `xtcointtest kao lrgdpnagrowth lccongrowth lckgrowth lpopgrowth, lags(1)`

Kao test for cointegration

H0: No cointegration

Ha: All panels are cointegrated

Number of panels = 180

Avg. number of periods = 49.272

Cointegrating vector: Same

Panel means: Included

Time trend: Not included

AR parameter: Same

Kernel: Bartlett

Lags: 2.24 (Newey-West)

Augmented lags: 1

	Statistic	p-value
Modified Dickey-Fuller t	-95.2875	0.0000
Dickey-Fuller t	-69.6779	0.0000
Augmented Dickey-Fuller t	-45.7378	0.0000
Unadjusted modified Dickey-Fuller t	-1.7e+02	0.0000
Unadjusted Dickey-Fuller t	-77.8970	0.0000

Topic 5: Pedroni test with panel-specific AR parameters

Stata example

- `xtcointtest pedroni lrgdpnagrowth lccongrowth lckgrowth lpopgrowth, lags(1)`

Pedroni test for cointegration

H_0 : No cointegration

H_a : All panels are cointegrated

Number of panels = 180

Avg. number of periods = 50.272

Cointegrating vector: **Panel specific**

Panel means: **Included**

Time trend: **Not included**

AR parameter: **Panel specific**

Kernel: **Bartlett**

Lags: **3.00 (Newey-West)**

Augmented lags: **1**

	Statistic	p-value
Modified Phillips-Perron t	-66.0789	0.0000
Phillips-Perron t	-67.9837	0.0000
Augmented Dickey-Fuller t	-67.8122	0.0000

Topic 5: Pedroni test with same AR parameters Stata example

- `xtcointtest pedroni lrgdpnagrowth lccongrowth lckgrowth lpopgrowth, lags(1)`

Pedroni test for cointegration

H0: No cointegration

Ha: All panels are cointegrated

Number of panels = 180

Avg. number of periods = 50.272

Cointegrating vector: **Panel specific**

Panel means: **Included**

Time trend: **Not included**

AR parameter: **Same**

Kernel: **Bartlett**

Lags: **3.00 (Newey-West)**

Augmented lags: **1**

	Statistic	p-value
Modified variance ratio	23.6854	0.0000
Modified Phillips-Perron t	-63.9103	0.0000
Phillips-Perron t	-57.3284	0.0000
Augmented Dickey-Fuller t	-57.7981	0.0000










Topic 5: Westerlund (2007) test Stata example

- `xtwest lrgdpnagrowth lccongrowth lckgrowth lpopgrowth, lags(1)`

Results for H_0 : no cointegration
With 180 series and 3 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value
Gt	-4.398	-35.219	0.000
Ga	-34.000	-56.256	0.000
Pt	-64.546	-35.768	0.000
Pa	-36.354	-68.161	0.000

Reference

-  Banerjee, Anindya, Juan Dolado and Ricardo Mestre (1998). Error-correction mechanism tests for cointegration in a single-equation framework. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* **19**(3), 267–283.
-  Breusch, T. S. and A. R. Pagan (1980). The lagrange multiplier test and its applications to model specification in econometrics. *The Review of Economic Studies* **47**(1), 239.
-  Chang, Yoosoon (2004). Bootstrap unit root tests in panels with cross-sectional dependency. *Journal of Econometrics* **120**(2), 263–293.
-  Kao, Chihwa (1999). Spurious regression and residual-based tests for cointegration in panel data. *Journal of Econometrics* **90**(1), 1–44.
-  Pedroni, Peter (1999). Critical values for cointegration tests in heterogeneous panels with multiple regressors. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **61**(s1), 653–670.
-  Pedroni, Peter (2004). Panel cointegration: Asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the ppp hypothesis. *Econometric Theory*.
-  Pesaran, Hashem (2004). General diagnostic tests for cross section dependence in panels. *CESifo Working Papers*.
-  Phillips, Peter C. and Pierre Perron (1988). Testing for a unit root in time series regression. *Biometrika* **75**(2), 335–346.
-  Westerlund, Joakim (2007). Testing for error correction in panel data. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **69**(6), 709–748.