

ECON 3740: INTRODUCTION TO ECONOMETRICS

INSTRUCTOR: CHAOYI CHEN

Department of Economics and Finance, University of Guelph

Lecture 16

Lecture outline

Last lecture, we studied the model with quadratic term and the interaction term. Today, we will

- Learn adjusted R^2
- Study how to choose between nonnested models.
- Study when we should add regressor into the model
- Predict y when $\log(y)$ is the dependent variable

MLR, Further Issue: Adjusted R-Squared

- General remarks on R-squared:
 - A high R-squared does **not** imply that there is a causal interpretation.
 - A low R-squared does preclude precise estimation of partial effects.
- Recall that

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSR/n}{SST/n} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2}{\hat{\sigma}_y^2},$$

so R^2 is estimating the **population R-squared**

$$\rho^2 = 1 - \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_y^2},$$

the proportion of the variation in y in the population explained by the independent variables.

- **Adjusted R-Squared:**

$$\bar{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{SSR/(n-k-1)}{SST/(n-1)} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2}{\hat{\sigma}_y^2}$$

is sometimes also called **R-bar squared**, where $\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_y^2$ are unbiased estimators of σ_μ^2 and σ_y^2 due to the correction of dfs.

MLR, Further Issue: Adjusted R-Squared Continue

- \bar{R}^2 takes into account degrees of freedom of the numerator and denominator, so is generally a better measure of goodness-of-fit.
- \bar{R}^2 imposes a **penalty** for adding new regressors: $k \uparrow \implies \bar{R}^2 \downarrow$
- \bar{R}^2 increases if and only if the t statistic of a newly added regressor is greater than one in absolute value. For example, compare with $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \mu$, the regression $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \mu$ has a larger \bar{R}^2 if and only if

$$|t_{\hat{\beta}_2}| > 1$$

- Relationship between R^2 and \bar{R}^2 ,

$$1 - R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = \frac{n - k - 1}{n - 1} \frac{SSR / (n - k - 1)}{SST / (n - 1)} = \frac{n - k - 1}{n - 1} (1 - \bar{R}^2)$$

we have, if $k \neq 0$ and $R^2 < 1$,

$$\bar{R}^2 = 1 - (1 - R^2) \frac{n - 1}{n - k - 1} < R^2$$

- Note that \bar{R}^2 even gets negative if $R^2 < \frac{k}{n-1}$

MLR, Further Issue: Choice between Nonnested Models

- Models are **nonnested** if neither model is a special case of the other.
- For example, to incorporate diminishing return of *sales* to *R&D*, we consider two models,

$$\begin{aligned}rdintens &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{sales}) + \mu \\rdintens &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{sales} + \beta_2 \text{sales}^2 + \mu\end{aligned}$$

where *rdintens* is *R&D* intensity.

- Now, suppose after estimate both models, we have $R^2 = 0.061$ and $\bar{R}^2 = 0.03$ in model 1, $R^2 = 0.148$ and $\bar{R}^2 = 0.09$ in model 2.
- A comparison between the *R*-squared of both models would be unfair to the first model because the first model contains fewer parameters.
- However, even after adjusting for the difference in degrees of freedom, the quadratic model is preferred. Therefore, we may consider choosing model 2.

MLR, Further Issue: Comparing Models with Different Dependent Variables

- R -squared or adjusted R -squared must not be used to compare models which differ in their **definition** of the dependent variable.
- **An example:** One would like to investigate the effect of firm performance on CEO salary. Therefore, after estimation, we have **TWO** fitted regression line based on two Dependent Variables

$$\widehat{salary} = 830.63 + 0.0163sales + 19.63roe$$
$$(223.9) \quad (0.0089) \quad (11.08)$$

where $n = 209$, $R^2 = 0.029$, $\bar{R}^2 = 0.02$, $SST = 391,732,982$ and

$$\widehat{\log(salary)} = 4.36 + 0.275\log(sales) + 0.0179roe$$
$$(0.29) \quad (0.033) \quad (0.004)$$

where $n = 209$, $R^2 = 0.282$, $\bar{R}^2 = 0.275$, $SST = 66.72$

- There is much less variation in $\log(salary)$ that needs to be explained than in salary, so it is not fair to compare R^2 and \bar{R}^2 of the two models. (we will discuss how to compare the fitting of these two models later).

MLR, Further Issue: Controlling for Too Many Factors in Regression Analysis

- In some cases, certain variables should not be held fixed:
 - In a regression of traffic fatalities on state beer taxes (and other factors) one should not directly control for beer consumption.
 - **why?** Beer taxes influence traffic fatalities only through beer consumption
 - In a regression of family health expenditures on pesticide usage among farmers one should not control for doctor visits.
 - **why?** Health expenditures include doctor visits, and we would like to pick up all effects of pesticide use on health expenditure.
- Different regressions may serve different purposes:
 - In a regression of house prices on house characteristics, one would include price assessments and also housing attributes if the purpose of the regression is to study the validity of assessments; one should not include price assessments if the purpose of the regression is to estimate a hedonic price model, which measures the marginal values of various housing attributes.