# ECON 3740: INTRODUCTION TO ECONOMETRICS 

Instructor: Chaoyi Chen<br>Department of Economics and Finance, University of Guelph

Lecture 6

## Lecture outline

Last lecture, we learned, under four assumptions, the OLS estimators are unbiased. We also studied the difference between homoskedastic error and heteroskedastic error. Today, we will

- derive the variance of $\hat{\beta_{1}}$
- estimate the error variance
- study regression through the origin and regression on a constant
- summary for topic four: The simple Regression Model


## Simple linear regression model: sample variance of the OLS estimators

- At the end of the last lecture, we showed that, under assumptions $1-5$, the variance of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Now, let's go through the derivation formally.
- Recall, from last lecture,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(\beta_{1}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)+\left(\mu_{i}-\bar{\mu}\right)\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)} \\
=\beta_{1}+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) \mu_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

## Simple linear regression model: sample variance of the OLS estimators

- Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\beta_{1}+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) \mu_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) \mu_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)}\right) \\
=\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) \mu_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

- Note that the $\mu_{i}$ are independent random variables across $i$, which implies the variance of the sum is the sum of the variances. Hence,

$$
=\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mu_{i}\right)\right)
$$

## Simple linear regression model: sample variance of the OLS estimators

- If the error is heteroskedastic, we cannot simplify further due to the fact that $\mu_{i}$ changes as $x_{i}$ changes.
- However, with assumption 5 (the homoskedastic error assumption), $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mu_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$, which is a constant for all $i$. Therefore, we can simplify the variance as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}\right) \\
=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

- From the above expression, we can see the variance depends on the error variance, $\sigma^{2}$, and the totoal variation in $x_{i}$, the $S S T_{x}$.


## Simple linear regression model: sample variance of the OLS estimators

- Relationships and intuitions:
- 1. The larger the error variance, the larger is $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)$. Intuitively, more variation in the unobservables affecting $y$ makes it more difficult to precisely estimate $\beta_{1}$.
- 2. As the variability in the $x_{i}$ increases, the variance of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ decreases. Intuitively, the more spread out is the sample of independent variables, the easier it is to trace out the relationship between $E(y \mid x)$ and $x$. Therefore, the easier it is to estimate $\beta_{1}$. 1 decreases.


## Simple linear regression model: estimate error variance

- With the data, we can compute $S S T_{x}$.
- However, we do not know $\sigma^{2}$ in general.
- To get the variance $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)$, we need to estimate the error variance first.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\mu_{i} \\
& y_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}+\hat{\mu}_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The first equation is the the population model in terms of a randomly sampled observation
- The second express $y_{i}$ in terms of its fitted value and residual
- Comparing two equations, we see that the error shows up in the equation containing the population parameters
- On the other hand, the residuals show up in the estimated equation


## Simple linear regression model: estimate error variance

- If we know the error $\mu_{i}$, then we can use $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{2}$ as an unbiased estimator for $\operatorname{Var}(\mu)=\sigma^{2}$.
- Unfortunately, this is not a true estimator, because we do not observe the errors $\mu_{i}$. But, the good news is we do have estimates of the $\mu_{i}$, the OLS residuals $\hat{\mu}_{i}$.
- However, if we simply replace $\mu_{i}$ with $\hat{\mu}_{i}$ in $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{2}$, the estimator $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\mu}_{i}^{2}\right)$ turns out to be a biased estimator. (Prove it at home).
- Intuitively, the biasedness is essentially because it does not account for two restrictions that must be satisfied by the OLS residuals.

$$
\sum_{i}^{n} \hat{\mu}_{i}=0 \quad \sum_{i}^{n} x_{i} \hat{\mu}_{i}=0
$$

- Why the two restrictions matter? if we know $n-2$ of the residuals. Then, based on the above two equation, we can always get the other two residuals (2 unknown, 2 equations). Thus, there are only $n-2$ degrees of freedom in $\hat{\mu}_{i}$ istead of $n$ degrees of freedom in $\mu_{\dot{j}}$.


## Simple linear regression model: estimate error variance

- Therefore, an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ that we will use makes a degrees of freedom adjustment is

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \hat{\mu}_{i}=\frac{S S R}{(n-2)}
$$

- Then, by replacing $\sigma^{2}$ by $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$, we can show that $\frac{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)$
- $\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}$ is the estimator of the standard deviations of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$, namely, standard error of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$.
- Similarly, we can obtain the unbiased estimator $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)$ and the standard error of $\hat{\beta}_{0}$


## Simple linear regression model: study regression through the origin and regression on a constant

- Now, let's talk about a special case of the simple linear regression model by imposing the restriction that, when $x=0$, the expected value of $y$ is zero. Hence, The population model is $y_{i}=\beta_{1} x_{i}+\mu_{i}$.
- Note that the population line has to be through the origin point $(0,0)$.
- Why this is reasonable and useful? Example: if income $(x)$ is zero, then income tax revenues $(y)$ must also be zero.
- To estimate the slope (we do not have intercept in this case), the OLS method requires us to solve the following minimization plroblem

$$
\operatorname{Min}_{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## Simple linear regression model: study regression through the origin and regression on a constant

- Differentiate the objective function w.r.t $\hat{\beta}_{1}$, we have the FOC

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}\right)=0
$$

- Thus, the OLS estimator of $\beta_{1}$

$$
\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}
$$

- The residuals are $\hat{\mu}_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}$ and the $R^{2}=1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\mu}_{i}}{S S T}$
- Note that, in this typical case, $S S T=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}$


## Simple linear regression model: study regression through the origin and regression on a constant

- Another interesting problem is what happens if we only regress on a constant?
- That is, we set the slope to zero (which means we need not even have an $x$ ) and estimate an intercept only?
- The answer is simple: the estimator of the intercept $\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ is $\bar{y}$.
- This fact is usually shown in basic statistics, where it is shown that the constant that produces the smallest sum of squared deviations is always the sample average.


## Simple linear regression model: summary

- In this topic, we have introduced the simple linear regression model.
- We have learned using OLS method to derive the estimators of the slope and the intercept parameters.
- We have demonstrated the algebra of the OLS regression line, including computation of fitted values and residuals, and the obtaining of predicted changes in the dependent variable for a given change in the independent variable.
- We have discussed two issues of practical importance: (1) change the units of measurement (2) the use of the natural log to model nonlinearity.


## Simple linear regression model: summary

- We showed that under four assumptions, the OLS estimators are unbiased. (The expected value of the OLS estimator equals to the true parameter)
- By imposing one more assumption, "homoskedastic error", we showed the simpler expressions of the variance of the OLS estimators. As we saw, the variance of the slope estimator $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ increases as the error variance increases, and it decreases when there is more sample variation in the independent variable.
- We showed an unbiased estimator of the $\sigma^{2}, \hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{\text { SSE }}{(n-2)}$. Then, we use $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ to get the unbiased estimator of $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)$.
- At last, we briefly discussed regression through the origin and regression on a constant

